# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## Translation

#### PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's o	r agent's file refere	Dire			·
J 718	5/cm		FOR FURTHER	ACTION	Sec Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No International filing		International filing da	we (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/EP2004/005831 28.0		28.05.200	4	18.06.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and I				IPC .	
Applicant					
IEP G	nbH				
l. Thi					
i. (hi	s report is the inter or Article 35 and to	national prelinational prelination	ninary examination rep e applicant according t	ort, established by this of Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining Authority
	REPORT consists				ng this cover sheet.
3. This	report is also acco	mpanied by Al	NEXES, comprising:		and the salect
a					
	sheets	of the descrip	to the International Bu	reau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
	sheets Instru	containing rec	tifications authorized	by this Authority (see R	aniended and are the basis for this report and/or ule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
	sheets	which superse	de earlier sheets, but	which this Authority co-	nsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond
	the di. Box	sclosure in the	international applicat	ion as filed, as indicated	d in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
U. 1	(Sent to the	International E	Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))
		···			containing a sequence listing and/or tables
	related thereto Section 802 of	o. in computer f the Administr	readable form only, a ative instructions).	s indicated in the Suppl	containing a sequence listing and/or tables emental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4. This	report contains ind	lications relatio	g to the following iter	ns:	
$\boxtimes$	Box No. 1	Basis of the			
<u> </u>	Box No. II	Priority			
$\boxtimes$	Box No. III	Non-establis	hment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inven	ntive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. (V		y of invention		.,,
X	Box No. V	Reasoned sta citations and	ntement under Article explanations supporti	35(2) with regard to now ng such statement	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability:
	Box No. VI	Certain docu			
$\boxtimes$	Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international	application	•
$\square$	Box No. VIII		rvations on the interna		
Date of submi	ssion of the demand	d		Date of completion of t	hie
	-				nis report
fame and mailing address of the IPEA/EP				Authorized	
Comments of the Contract of th				Authorized officer	
acsimile Na					
acsimile No.				Telephone No.	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

	PCT/EP2004/005831				
Box No. 1 Basis of the report					
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>					
This report is based on translations from the original language into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	the following language				
international search (Role 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)					
international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
<ol> <li>With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred this report);</li> </ol>	s based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the ed to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to				
the international application as originally filed/furnished					
the description:					
pages 1-36	as originally filed/furnished				
pages*neceiv	ed by this Authority on				
pages" receiv	red by this Authority on				
the claims:					
nos 1-40	as originally filed/fornished				
nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
	red by this Authority on				
	ed by this Authority on				
the drawings:					
sheets	as originally filed/furnished				
sheets* receiv	ed by this Authority on				
sheets*receiv					
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Bo					
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
the description, pages	•				
the claims nos					
At the second se	•				
the sequence listing (specify):					
any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the approducate a	property to this server of the				
The control of the co	naicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
the description, pages the claims, nos.					
[					
the sequence listing (specify):					
any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

makandalahannan paramentan basan basan

	INTERNATIONAL PRELEMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY	International application No. PCT/EP2004/005831						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to povelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
	the entire international application							
	claims Nos. 6, 7 and 16-19; claim 15 in part							
becau	Se:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos.							
	relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international prelimina	ry examination (specify):						
		•						
		·						
		Ť						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims	Nos.						
	are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
ш	the claims, or said claims Nos.  by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.	are so inadequately supported						
<b>5</b> 2	of the second state of the state of the second seco							
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 6, 7 and	16-19; claim 15 in part						
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard Instructions in that:	provided for in Annex C of the Administrative						
	the written form has not been furnished does not comply with the standard							
	the computer readable form has not been furnished	· .						
F	does not comply with the standard							
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in compute chnical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instruction	ter readable form only, do not comply with the s.						
	See Supplemental Box for further details.							

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/005831

Reasoned statemen	it under A	ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive		
	manors str	pporting such statement		<del></del> -
N)	Claims	3-5, 8-14		YE
	Claims	1, 2, 15, 20-40		NO
step (IS)	Claims	3-5, 8, 9	· 	¥T:
	Claims	1, 2, 10-15, 20-40		NO
applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5, 8-15, 20-40		YE
	Claims			NO
	Reasoned statement citations and explains and explains and explains and explains are partial (IS)	N) Claims	Claims 3-5, 8-14  Claims 1, 2, 15, 20-40  step (IS) Claims 3-5, 8, 9  Claims 1, 2, 10-15, 20-40  applicability (IA) Claims 1-5, 8-15, 20-40  Claims	Claims 3-5, 8-14  Claims 1, 2, 15, 20-40  step (IS) Claims 3-5, 8, 9  Claims 1, 2, 10-15, 20-40  applicability (IA) Claims 1-5, 8-15, 20-40  Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

#### Prior art documents

In this written opinion the abbreviations **D1** to **D7** are used to refer to the searched prior art documents in the order in which they are listed in the international search report (ISR). The ISR was established by the Examining Authority.

#### 1. Summary of the application

The application relates essentially to an NADH-dependent S-specific oxidoreductase with an amino acid sequence as defined by SEQ ID No. 9.

#### 2. Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))

- 2.1 The subject matter of <u>claims 3 to 5 and 8 to 14</u> has not been made accessible to the public by the available prior art and can therefore be considered novel.
- 2.2 The subject matter of <u>claims 1, 2, 15 and 20 to 40</u> fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and (3).

Box No. V

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/005831

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Documents D1 (WO 93/18138), D2 (WO 02/086126), D3 (Jones et al.), D4 (Xie et al.), D5 (Schütte et al.), D6 (Cannio et al.) and D7 (Bayer et al.) all disclose NAD-dependent S-specific carbonyl reductases (see also page 1, line 20 to page 2, line 2 in the present application). In their present form claims 1 and 2 are

therefore inadmissible under EPC Article 54.

- The applicant is reminded that it is not permissible 2.4 to use the origin of a sequence (human, mouse or, as in claim 2, "yeasts of the genus Pichia or Candida") to establish novelty over known sequences with the same structural features and the same activity. To a person skilled in the art it is not obvious, for example, whether a given NADH-dependent S-specific oxidoreductase is from Pichia capsulata, Candida parapsilosis or Rhodococcus erythropolis.
- 2.5 Any known nucleotide sequence that encodes an NADHdependent S-specific oxidoreductase is prejudicial to the novelty of the subject matter of claim 15 (b). These known polynucleotides all hybridise with the sequences specified in claim 15 (a). It is noted that the term "strict [conditions]" is relative. Consequently the subject matter of claims 20 to 22 cannot be considered novel at this point in time.
- 2.6 The methods of  $\underline{\text{claims 23 to 40}}$  are indistinguishable from the methods described in D1 (WO 93/18138) and D2 (WO 02/086126). The subject matter of these claims cannot therefore be considered novel.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/005831

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelly, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

- 3.1 The subject matter of <u>claims 3 to 5, 8 and 9</u> is not obvious from the available prior art and therefore meets the requirement of PCT Article 33(3).
- 3.2 The subject matter of claims 10 to 14 fails to meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(3).
- 3.3 The subject matter of claims 10 to 12 ("fragments") contributes nothing to the inventive solution to the problem addressed by the invention (i.e. that of providing a new oxidoreductase with high enantioselectivity (S-specific) and a high degree of stability against organic solvents (see page 3, lines 25 to 27)). The problem addressed by the invention is not solved by the subject matter of claims 10 to 12.
- 3.4 The same argument applies to claims 13 and 14, which relate to an oxidoreductase as defined by SEQ ID No. 10. The sequence according to SEQ ID No. 10 is the sequence not of an oxidoreductase but rather of a 12-amino-acid-long fragment of an oxidoreductase that does not perform the specified function (see also page 4, lines 18 to 23).
- 4. Industrial applicability (PCT Article 33(4))

Claims 1 to 5, 8 to 15 and 20 to 40 all meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(4).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/005831

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

The application as a whole lacks conciseness on account of the large number of independent claims (PCT Rule 6.1(a)).

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/005831

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 1 defines oxidoreductases which reduce a carbonyl compound to the corresponding (S)—hydroxy compound. The activity measurement in dependent claim 4 is not consistent with this because the product is an (R)-hydroxy compound, not an (S)-hydroxy compound as in claim 1.

International application No.

	PCT/EP2004/005831					
Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing						
Continuation of Box No. I, item 2:						
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention this report was established on the basis of:</li> </ol>						
a. type of material						
a sequence listing						
table(s) related to the sequence listing						
b. format of material						
in written formal						
in computer readable form						
1571	time of filing/furnishing					
Tomatical in the international apparation as filed						
filed together with the international application in computer readable form						
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or exami	ination					
K-3	received by this Authority as an amendment* on					
<ol> <li>In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional filled or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were tunished</li> </ol>	r table(s) relating thereto has been filed or copies is identical to that in the application as					
3. Additional comments:						
The original application includes 5 pages	of sequence					
listing (10 sequences) (pages 1 to 5 of t						
•	•, •					
•						
·						
	·					
* If item 4 in Box No. Lambles at a U.S.						
<ul> <li>If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of "superseded."</li> </ul>	the basis of the report may be marked					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/005831.

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

#### Box III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. No international search report was established in respect of the subject matter of claims 6, 7 and 16 to 19, or in respect of claim 15 (in part). The subject matter not covered by the search report will therefore not be dealt with in the international preliminary examination (PCT Rule 66.1(e)).
- Claim 6 covers all oxidoreductases that are characterised by "70% or 80% identical amino acids to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No. 9", and further characterised in that they have 1 to 40 amino acids more or 1 to 40 amino acids less. Firstly it is completely unclear which sequences are covered by this claim (PCT Article 6), and secondly there is only a limited number of such oxidoreductases that are fully disclosed (PCT Article 5) and supported by the description (PCT Article 6). It is therefore not possible to carry out a meaningful search and examination in respect of this claim. The same applies to claim 7.
- 3. Claim 15 (c) relates to a polynucleotide which differs from the polynucleotides of claim 15 (a) and claim 15 (b) on account of the degeneration of the genetic code of the polynucleotides. The

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Supplemental Box) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/005831

Supplemental Box

reference to the degeneration of the genetic code only makes sense if the protein which the polynucleotide is supposed to encode is defined by its exact amino acid sequence. This is not the case in claim 15 (a) or claim 15 (b) (no amino acid sequence is specified; use of the term "hybridises"). It is therefore not possible to carry out a meaningful search and examination in respect of the subject matter of claim 15 (c) (PCT Article 6).

- 4. Claim 16 seeks to define the DNA sequence in terms of an enzyme, but the amino acid sequence of the enzyme is not defined in the claim and it is completely unclear what the DNA sequences are. The phrase "70% ..." makes the claim even more unclear. It is therefore not possible to carry out a meaningful search and examination in respect of the subject matter of claims 16 and 17 (PCT Article 6).
- 5. It is completely unclear what DNA sequences are defined in <u>claim 18</u> ("one or more parts"). It is therefore not possible to carry out a meaningful search and examination in respect of the subject matter of <u>claims 18</u> and 19 (PCT Article 6).

### This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

#### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

#### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.